MICHAEL POSTLE 1 3724 Deer Walk Way Antelope, CA 95843 2 916-790-4112 3 Plaintiff. In Pro Per 4 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 6 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 7 8 MICHAEL POSTLE. Case No.: 34-2020-00286265 9 Plaintiff. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON SPECIAL 10 VS. MOTION TO STRIKE; POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF 11 TODD WITTELES. MICHAEL POSTLE 12 Date: March 18, 2021 **Defendants** Time: 1:30 p.m. 13 Dept: 53 Judge: 14 Date Action Filed: February 24, 2021 15 Reservation No.: 2554076 16 17 To defendant, Veronica Brill, and to her attorney(s) of record: Eric Bensamochan, Esq. 18 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on March 16, 2021, at 1:30PM, or as soon thereafter as the 19 matter may be heard, in Department 53 of this court, located at 813 6th Street, Sacramento, Plaintiff, 20 Michael Postle, will, and hereby does, move for an order continuing the trial and mandatory 21 settlement conference, reopening discovery until 30 days prior to the new trial date, and referring this 22 matter back to the case management program for trial setting. 23 The motion will be made on the grounds that the plaintiff is interviewing new counsel and 24 needs guidance to answer the opposition. Additionally, the plaintiff has just retained an organization 25 specializing in internet based First Amendment and defamation issues, substantial discovery remains 26 to be completed, and the Plaintiff is unable to adequately prepare in the time remaining. 27 28

MOTION TO CONTINUE

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration(s) of Michael Postle, and the supporting memorandum served and filed herewith, on the records and file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

Pursuant to Local Rule 1.06 (A), the court will make a tentative ruling on the merits of this matter by 2:00 p.m., the court day before the hearing. The complete text of the tentative rulings for the department may be downloaded off the court's website. If the party does not have online access, they may call the dedicated phone number for the department as referenced in the local telephone directory between the hours of 2:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. on the court day before the hearing and receive the tentative ruling. If you do not call the court and the opposing party by 4:00 p.m. the court day before the hearing, no hearing will be held.

Dated: February 24, 2021

MICHAEL POSTLE Plaintiff, In Pro Per I. Background

This lawsuit arises from a lengthy, calculated course of action that purposefully defamed the plaintiff, caused him to be held in contempt by his peers and the general public, damaged his ability to earn a living, and put his life in danger. The plaintiff was initially represented by counsel, however their lack of experience in online defamation has resulted in their excusing themselves from the case and the Plaintiff interviewing more appropriate counsel. To date, Plaintiff has not retained new counsel and is unable to prepare the case for trial set at the current date. Additionally, the Plaintiff has retained an organization specializing in internet defamation and First Amendment issues to assist when new counsel is retained, both will need time to confer and prepare. Additionally, discovery remains to be competed in this lawsuit.

II. Legal Argument

GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR CONTINUANCE IN THAT PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING NEW COUNSEL, AND SUCH NEW COUNSEL WILL BE UNABLE TO ADEQUATELY PREPARE WITHOUT A CONTINUANCE.

A. Good Cause. A court may grant a continuance before or during trial on an affirmative showing of good cause and each request for a continuance must be considered on its own merits (Cal. Rules of Ct., Rule 3.1332(c)).

B. Significant, Unanticipated Change in Case Status Constitutes Good Cause for Continuance. The circumstances that may indicate good cause for a continuance include a significant, unanticipated change in the status of the case as a result of which the case is not ready for trial (Cal. Rules of Ct., Rule 3.1332(c)(7)). Previous counsel excused themselves due to a lack of experience in online defamation.

C. Continuance Sought as Soon as Reasonably Practical. A party seeking a continuance of the date set for trial, whether contested or uncontested or stipulated to by the parties, must make the motion or application as soon as reasonably practical once the necessity for the continuance is discovered (Cal. Rules of Ct., Rule 3.1332(b)). The plaintiff is seeking replacement counsel with

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL POSTLE

I, MICHAEL POSTLE, am the Plaintiff in this matter, and I declare the following in support of my

The plaintiff was initially represented by counsel, however their lack of experience in online defamation has resulted in their excusing themselves from the case and the Plaintiff interviewing more appropriate counsel. To date, Plaintiff has not retained new counsel and is unable to prepare the case in the time remaining.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: February 24, 2021

motion for continuance:

MICHAEL POSTLI

Plaintiff, In Pro Per

3724 Deer Walk Way Antelope, CA 95843 916-790-4112		
Plaintiff, In Pro Per		
		JRT OF CALIFORNIA
	COUNTY O	F SACRAMENTO
MICHAEL POSTLE,) Case No.: 34-2020-00286265
Plaintiff,	**************************************	PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE
VS.	•	Date: March 18, 2021
TODD WITTELES,) Time: 1:30 p.m.) Dept: 53
Defendant) Judge:)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	<u> </u>	
		rder continuing Defendant's Special Motion to Strike
conference came on regular	ly for hearing by the	rder continuing Defendant's Special Motion to Strike court on Plaintiff appeared by self-
	ly for hearing by the	
conference came on regularl representation; defendant ap	ly for hearing by the peared in pro per.	court on Plaintiff appeared by self-
conference came on regularly representation; defendant ap	ly for hearing by the opeared in pro per.	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self-
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfactor of	ly for hearing by the peared in proper. action of the court the otion be, and hereby	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self- nat the motion ought to be granted, y is, granted. The existing trial date and mandatory
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfactory	ly for hearing by the peared in proper. action of the court the otion be, and hereby s/are vacated. This	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self-
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfal of the sati	ly for hearing by the peared in proper. action of the court the otion be, and hereby s/are vacated. This	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self- nat the motion ought to be granted, y is, granted. The existing trial date and mandatory
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfactory	ly for hearing by the peared in proper. action of the court the otion be, and hereby s/are vacated. This	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self- nat the motion ought to be granted, y is, granted. The existing trial date and mandatory
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfal of the sati	ly for hearing by the opeared in pro per. action of the court the otion be, and hereby s/are vacated. This date.	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self- nat the motion ought to be granted, y is, granted. The existing trial date and mandatory case is referred back to the Case Management
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfal of the sati	ly for hearing by the opeared in pro per. action of the court the otion be, and hereby s/are vacated. This date.	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self- nat the motion ought to be granted, y is, granted. The existing trial date and mandatory
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfal of the sati	ly for hearing by the opeared in pro per. action of the court the otion be, and hereby s/are vacated. This date.	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self- nat the motion ought to be granted, y is, granted. The existing trial date and mandatory case is referred back to the Case Management
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfal of the sati	ly for hearing by the opeared in pro per. action of the court the otion be, and hereby s/are vacated. This date.	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self- nat the motion ought to be granted, y is, granted. The existing trial date and mandatory case is referred back to the Case Management
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfal of the sati	ly for hearing by the opeared in pro per. action of the court the otion be, and hereby s/are vacated. This date.	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self- nat the motion ought to be granted, y is, granted. The existing trial date and mandatory case is referred back to the Case Management
conference came on regularly representation; defendant appropriate of the satisfal of the sati	ly for hearing by the opeared in pro per. action of the court the otion be, and hereby s/are vacated. This date.	e court on Plaintiff appeared by self- nat the motion ought to be granted, y is, granted. The existing trial date and mandatory case is referred back to the Case Management

JACKSON v. COLONIAL ENERGY LLC, et al. Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2018-00230858-CU-PO-GDS

PROOF OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MAIL ONLY

I, LEAH KOLOGY, am employed by the Law Offices of Ted A. Greene, Inc., 1912 F Street, Suite 110, Sacramento, CA 95811. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to this action.

My electronic service address is: lkology@tedgreenelaw.com

I electronically served today the following document(s): to the following addresses of the interested parties: NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE; POINT AND AUTHORITIES; DECALRATION OF MICHAEL POSTLE

Attorneys for Defendant

Veronica Brill

Marc Randazza, Esq.
Randazza Legal Group, PLLC
mrj@randazz.com

Attorneys for Defendant

Todd Witteles

Eric Bensamochan, Esq. The Bensamochan Law Firm, Inc. eric@eblawfirm.us

SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION ONLY: PER CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT EMERGENCY RULE 12 (b)(2) REGARING ELECTRONIC SERVICE, service has been performed by e-mailing the document(s) to the person(s) at the email address(es) listed above. During the Coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic, this office will be working remotely and is therefore using only electronic mail. No electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful was received within a reasonable time after the transmission.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: February 24, 2021